

Reference: N124470

11 October 2017

Australian Turkish Maarif Foundation 15-19 Gelibolu Parade AUBURN NSW 2144

Attention: Semih Asaroglu (Project Manager)

Dear Semih

RE: 2 PERCY STREET, AUBURN (PP-2/2017) ADDENDUM - TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Australian Turkish Maarif Foundation (ATMF) has engaged GTA Consultants (GTA) to provide additional traffic analysis to satisfy requests from Cumberland Council (Council) for the proposed school development at 2 Percy Street, Auburn. This additional information is provided in response to the Council resolution of 6 September 2017, item c: i, ii and iii.

GTA was commissioned by ATMF to undertake a transport impact assessment for the proposed development. GTA prepared a Transport Impact Assessment¹ in July 2017 and the report was submitted as part of the Planning Proposal for the site.

This addendum letter has been provided in response to Council's comments via the Minutes of the Cumberland Independent Hearing & Assessment Panel Meeting dated 9 August 2017, as follows:

- c. a revised Transport Impact Assessment incorporating further modelling taking into account the increased FSRs that resulted from LEP Amendment 8 to Auburn LEP 2010 and taking into account any revisions to the planning proposal request and Councils Traffic modelling undertaken for the Draft Auburn and Lidcombe Town Centre Strategy;
- d. if mitigation measures such as intersection upgrades are required as a result of recommendation.

This letter should be read in conjunction with the report titled 2 Percy Street – Planning Proposal Transport Impact Assessment report (GTA, 12 July 2017) and provides a review and additional analysis of all traffic and transport related matters associated with the potential increase in development capacity in Auburn, Lidcombe, Berala and Regents Park town centres and villages. The assessment considered the impact of the additional traffic volumes on the surrounding road network to accommodate additional floor space ratios (FSR) in the Auburn LGA, during the proposed AM and PM school peak periods.

Council has increased floor space ratio (FSR) controls applying to certain land zoned B4 Mixed Use and R4 High Density Residential under the Auburn LEP 2010. The Auburn City Urban Design Study prepared by AECOM dated 30 September 2012 identified that if the proposed increase in FSRs were achieved there would be capacity for an additional 6,566 dwellings and 162,864 m² of mixed use podium gross floor area (GFA) for employment uses.

¹ Transport Impact Assessment – Planning Proposal, 2 Percy Street, Auburn, GTA Consultants, 12 July 2017.



Hyder Consulting prepared a traffic impact assessment report² to assess the impact from the potential increase in development capacity on the road network and provided recommendations on the potential upgrading works at critical intersections required to minimise the impact from increased FSR. The additional development capacity is forecast to generate around 5,000 to 6,300 additional vehicle trips in one peak hour across the road network.

The increase in traffic volumes were extracted from the Hyder report for the intersections of:

- Boorea Road/ St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street
- Station Road/ Rawson Street.

Background

A Planning Proposal was lodged with Council to amend the existing planning controls for the site located at 2 Percy Street, Auburn. The Planning Proposal seeks to allow additional permitted uses for the site, for the purposes of an educational establishment, which are currently prohibited under the current Light Industrial Zone (IN2).

For the purpose of this exercise, a worst case/ maximum development scenario has been used which assumes a full-scale school including kindergarten, primary and secondary school with associated administration provided on the site.

The indicative schedule for the conceptual scheme is summarised in Table 1.

Use	Description	Size			
056	Description	Staff	Student		
	Kindergarten	2	50		
Educational	Primary School	19	300		
Educational	Secondary School	21	300		
	Administration Office	8	-		
	Total	50	650		

Table 1: Indicative Schedule

Source: Proposed school program by Architecture Design Studio, dated 09 May 2017

For the purposes of this assessment, vehicular access is proposed at the same location of the existing crossover to St Hilliers Road along the western boundary in the north-west corner of the site. Due to the movement restrictions at the access along St Hilliers Road, any opportunity to incorporate an additional two-way vehicular access along Gelibolu Parade would be investigated during detailed design, along with appropriate entry geometry that facilitates a suitable vehicle entry and exit speeds, without compromising pedestrian and cyclist safety.

Existing Condition

The following is provided from the GTA's *Transport Impact Assessment* report to provide background context for this addendum.

Traffic Volumes

As outlined in Section 2.2 of the Transport report, traffic movement counts where undertaken at six key intersections near the site on Tuesday 5 May 2017 and Thursday 29 June 2017 to capture the traffic conditions during the school's AM and PM peak periods.

For the purpose of this assessment, the kindergarten is proposed to operate from 8:30am to 3:15pm while the primary and high schools will hold classes from 8:30am to 3:30pm, Monday to Friday.

² Traffic Modelling – Increased Floor Space Ratio Controls for Certain Land Zone B4 Mixed Use and R4 High Density Residential within the Auburn Local Government Area, Hyder Consulting, September 2013.



Assuming these proposed operating hours of the school, the AM and PM hour traffic volumes during the following school peak periods are used for the traffic impact assessment:

- 8:00am and 9:00am
- 3:00pm and 4:00pm.

The weekday AM and PM school peak hour traffic volumes are summarised in Figure 1 and the weekday PM commuter peak hour traffic volumes are summarised in Figure 2.



Figure 1: Existing Weekday AM and PM School Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Figure 2: Existing Weekday PM Commuter Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Intersection Operation

Table 2 presents a summary of the existing operation of both intersections, with full results presented in Attachment 1 of this letter.



Intersection	Peak	Leg	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Average Delay (sec)	95th Percentile Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)
		St Hilliers Road (S)	0.15	74	6	E
		Boorea Street (SE)	0.76	29	234	С
	AM School	St Hilliers Road (NE)	0.60	29	169	С
		Boorea Street (NW)	0.74	48	126	D
		Overall	0.76	33	234	С
		St Hilliers Road (S)	0.38	75	16	E
Boorea Road/		Boorea Street (SE)	0.66	31	171	С
St Hilliers Road/ Rawson	PM School	St Hilliers Road (NE)	0.64	32	205	С
Street		Boorea Street (NW)	0.62	40	103	D
		Overall	0.66	34	205	с
		St Hilliers Road (S)	0.35	75	14	E
	PM Commuter	Boorea Street (SE)	0.68	30	179	С
		St Hilliers Road (NE)	0.67	32	220	С
	Commond	Boorea Street (NW)	0.59	40	101	D
		Overall	0.68	33	220	С
	AM School	Rawson Street (SE)	0.59	15	149	В
		Station Road (NE)	0.60	60	68	E
		Rawson Street (NW)	0.30	20	76	В
		Station Road (SW)	0.60	44	120	D
		Overall	0.60	31	149	с
		Rawson Street (SE)	0.70	17	136	В
		Station Road (NE)	0.59	57	82	D
Station Road/ Rawson Street	PM School	Rawson Street (NW)	0.41	26	108	В
		Station Road (SW)	0.60	39	99	С
		Overall	0.61	31	136	с
		Rawson Street (SE)	0.49	16	115	В
		Station Road (NE)	0.46	55	62	D
	PM Commuter	Rawson Street (NW)	0.39	24	105	В
	COMMUNE	Station Road (SW)	0.47	35	102	С
		Overall	0.49	28	115	В

Table 2: Existing Operating Conditions

Based on the above assessment, it is clear that the intersection of Station Road/ Rawson Street currently experiences some queuing and delays on the southwest, southeast and northwest legs during both the AM and PM peak periods.

The intersection of Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street also experiences peak period queuing and delay on the northeast, southeast and northwest legs. Much of this congestion is influenced by the signalised intersection of Station Road/ Rawson Street.

During the PM peak period, queuing at the Station Road/Rawson Street intersection (located northwest) extends back to the Boorea Street/St Hilliers Road/Rawson Street intersection, which in turn affects the traffic efficiency and operation of this intersection. This mostly impacts traffic on the southeast and northeast legs of the Boorea Street/St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street intersection.

As such, it should be recognised that this impact is as a result of the Station Road/ Rawson Street intersection and associated congestion, rather than the intersection operation itself.

Notwithstanding the above, both intersections currently operate with satisfactory delays overall and a Level of Service C or better during the AM and PM peak hours.



Future Condition

Traffic Impact from Potential FSR Increase

The additional traffic generation from the potential FSR increase has been assigned to road network based on Hyder's report. The 10-year growth in traffic demand from potential FSR increase in B4 and R4 zones between 2012 and 2021 is extracted and added onto the existing 2017 traffic volumes for the two nominated intersections. This assumes that no development associated with the FSR increase has occurred between 2012 and 2017. If significant development has occurred within this time, the proposed traffic generation in this assessment will be over represented as the existing 2017 traffic surveys would account for any developments that have occurred in the last five years.

The AM and PM school peak hour traffic volumes considering the potential FSR increase (excluding the proposed school) are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 respectively. The PM commuter peak hour traffic volumes with the additional traffic due to the potential FSR increase is shown in Figure 5.









Figure 4: Future Weekday PM School Peak Hour + Potential FSR Increase Traffic Volumes (vehicles per hour)

Figure 5: Future Weekday PM Commuter Peak Hour + Potential FSR Increase Traffic Volumes (vehicles per hour)



Intersection Operation

Table 3 presents a summary of the future operation of both intersections considering the potential increase in FSR (excluding the proposed School), with full results presented in Attachment 2 of this letter.



Intersection	Peak	Leg	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Average Delay (sec)	95th Percentile Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)
		St Hilliers Road (S)	0.18	85	7	F
		Boorea Street (SE)	1.02	92	593	F
	AM School	St Hilliers Road (NE)	0.99	52	227	D
		Boorea Street (NW)	0.96	89	299	F
	-	Overall	1.02	78	593	E
		St Hilliers Road (S)	0.40	75	16	E
Boorea Road/	-	Boorea Street (SE)	1.01	80	394	E
St Hilliers Road/ Rawson	PM School	St Hilliers Road (NE)	0.98	56	382	E
Street		Boorea Street (NW)	0.94	71	264	E
	-	Overall	1.01	68	394	E
		St Hilliers Road (S)	0.37	76	14	E
	-	Boorea Street (SE)	1.03	86	432	F
	PM Commuter	St Hilliers Road (NE)	0.97	74	585	E
	-	Boorea Street (NW)	0.95	75	272	E
	-	Overall	1.03	79	575	E
		Rawson Street (SE)	1.13	114	658	F
	AM School	Station Road (NE)	1.07	148	161	F
		Rawson Street (NW)	0.61	24	151	В
		Station Road (SW)	1.13	95	325	F
		Overall	1.13	95	658	F
		Rawson Street (SE)	1.32	183	797	F
		Station Road (NE)	1.27	306	300	F
Station Road/ Rawson Street	PM School	Rawson Street (NW)	0.85	32	218	С
Ranserrencer		Station Road (SW)	1.16	101	469	F
		Overall	1.32	143	797	F
		Rawson Street (SE)	1.22	136	649	F
		Station Road (NE)	1.18	230	218	F
	PM Commuter	Rawson Street (NW)	0.83	31	219	С
		Station Road (SW)	1.11	81	383	F
		Overall	1.22	107	649	F

Table 3: Future Operating Conditions due to Potential FSR Increase (No Development)

Based on the above assessment, it is expected there would be significant traffic congestion, with both intersections experiencing peak period queuing and delays when including the potential FSR without considering the proposed School development.

Traffic Impact from Potential FSR Increase + Proposed Development

Two scenarios have been investigated as part of the assessment, considering the worst-case scenario during the AM and PM school peak hours and PM commuter peak hour. The key trip characteristics i.e. peak hour, mode share and estimates of the peak hour traffic volumes associated with the various peak hours are also set out in Table 4.



Scenario	Peak Period	Mode Share	Vehicle Movements
		30% through carpooling/ children from the same family (Average of 3 students per car)	130 vehicle movements (arriving and departing)
	AM peak hour (8:00am - 9:00am)	55% as private passenger	358 vehicle movements (arriving and departing)
1. School Peak Hours	PM peak hour	15% drive	100 vehicle movements (arriving or departing)
	(3:00pm - 4:00pm)	100% students arrive by car	588 vehicle movements
		100% staff arrive by car	50 vehicle movements (arriving or departing)
		Total	638 vehicle movements
2. PM Commuter	PM peak hour (3:45pm - 4:45pm)	3% drive (20 secondary school students who have after-school activities and leave during commuter peak)	20 vehicle movements
Peak Hour		100% staff depart by car	50 vehicle movements
		Total	70 vehicle movements

Table 4: Assessed Scenarios

Table 4 indicates that the proposed school could be expected to generate up to 638 and 70 vehicle trips during the AM/ PM school peak hours and PM commuter peak hour, respectively.

The AM and PM school peak hour traffic volumes considering the potential FSR increase and the proposed development, are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively. The PM commuter peak hour traffic volumes with the additional traffic due to the potential FSR increase plus the proposed school, is shown in Figure 8.









Figure 7: Future Weekday PM School Peak Hour + Potential FSR Increase + Proposed Development Traffic Volumes (vehicles per hour)





Intersection Operation

Table 5 presents a summary of the future operation of the intersection considering the potential increase in FSR plus proposed development, with full results presented in Attachment 3 of this letter.



Intersection	Peak	Leg	Degree of Saturation (DOS)	Average Delay (sec)	95th Percentile Queue (m)	Level of Service (LOS)
		St Hilliers Road (S)	1.21	215	216	F
		Boorea Street (SE)	1.17	181	833	F
	AM School	St Hilliers Road (NE)	1.19	106	352	F
		Boorea Street (NW)	1.14	200	452	F
		Overall	1.21	162	833	F
Boorea Road/		St Hilliers Road (S)	1.19	193	173	F
		Boorea Street (SE)	1.16	157	566	F
St Hilliers Road/ Rawson	PM School	St Hilliers Road (NE)	1.14	108	483	F
Street		Boorea Street (NW)	1.10	158	387	F
		Overall	1.19	139	566	F
		St Hilliers Road (S)	0.90	82	33	F
	Did	Boorea Street (SE)	1.01	80	419	F
	PM Commuter	St Hilliers Road (NE)	1.01	79	576	E
	Commonor	Boorea Street (NW)	0.98	89	294	F
		Overall	1.01	82	576	F
	AM School	Rawson Street (SE)	1.20	153	819	F
		Station Road (NE)	1.11	178	186	F
		Rawson Street (NW)	0.61	24	164	В
		Station Road (SW)	1.17	111	373	F
		Overall	1.20	117	819	F
		Rawson Street (SE)	1.33	196	870	F
		Station Road (NE)	1.32	342	330	F
Station Road/ Rawson Street	PM School	Rawson Street (NW)	0.85	30	224	С
		Station Road (SW)	1.32	173	656	F
		Overall	1.33	174	870	F
		Rawson Street (SE)	1.21	131	644	F
	_	Station Road (NE)	1.18	230	218	F
	PM Commuter	Rawson Street (NW)	0.83	29	211	С
		Station Road (SW)	1.19	114	466	F
		Overall	1.21	115	644	F

 Table 5:
 Future Operating Conditions due to Potential FSR Increase + Proposed Development

As clearly demonstrated in Table 5, based on the above assessment, both intersections would operate at an unacceptable Level of Service F and, as expected, their performances would deteriorate further when compared with the future operating conditions due to potential FSR increase.

However, it is recognised that the proposed school's generated traffic volumes remain low when compared with existing traffic volumes, with no more than nine per cent during the AM and PM school peak hours and no more than one per cent during the PM commuter peak hour, as detailed in Table 6. Therefore, the proposed school represents only a small proportion of the change in intersection operation, which is considered minimal in relation to the impact of increased FSR.



Deals	Intersection	lan	2017	Potentia	I FSR Increase		ercy Street elopment
Peak	Intersection	Leg	Traffic	Site Traffic	Site Traffic Contribution	Site Traffic	Site Traffic Contribution
	Boorea Road/	Boorea Street (SE)	3,903	852	22%	206	5%
	St Hilliers Road/ Rawson	St Hilliers Road (NE)	3,120	727	23%	223	7%
	Street	Boorea Street (NW)	1,555	711	46%	0	0%
AM School		Rawson Street (SE)	1,375	700	51%	122	9%
	Station Road/	Station Road (NE)	636	303	48%	15	2%
	Rawson Street	Rawson Street (NW)	1,045	369	35%	81	8%
		Station Road (SW)	1,110	782	70%	38	3%
	Boorea Road/ St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street	Boorea Street (SE)	3,761	1,057	28%	177	5%
		St Hilliers Road (NE)	3,094	1,016	33%	223	7%
		Boorea Street (NW)	747	489	65%	0	0%
PM School	Station Road/	Rawson Street (SE)	1,479	973	66%	121	8%
		Station Road (NE)	689	368	53%	17	2%
	Rawson Street	Rawson Street (NW)	1,085	482	44%	79	7%
		Station Road (SW)	1,339	1,045	78%	39	3%
	Boorea Road/	Boorea Street (SE)	4,045	1,057	26%	42	1%
	St Hilliers Road/ Rawson	St Hilliers Road (NE)	3,271	1,016	31%	25	1%
	Street	Boorea Street (NW)	750	489	65%	0	0%
PM Commuter		Rawson Street (SE)	1,439	973	68%	14	1%
	Station Road/	Station Road (NE)	636	368	58%	0	0%
	Rawson Street	Rawson Street (NW)	1,048	482	46%	11	1%
		Station Road (SW)	1,289	1,045	81%	4	0%

Table 6:	Traffic Contributions of Potential FSR Increase and Proposed Development	t

Potential Mitigation Measures

The locality of the proposed school provides good opportunities for implementing traffic management measures that may assist with managing traffic flows during peak times. This includes physical works, changes in existing traffic access networks and school operational activities that can be implemented by managing the school community.

Wyatt Park Recreational Precinct Masterplan

Council has recently commissioned Spackman, Mossop and Michaels Pty Ltd to develop a Plan of Management and Landscape Concept Plan, to set a clear direction forward for the Wyatt Park precinct. The master plan includes additions and upgrades including cycle/ pedestrian tracks around and throughout the park and additional car parking.



Given that around 45 per cent of the traffic depart the subject site towards the east and south via St Hilliers Road towards Olympic Drive and Boorea Street, it is possible that Church Street could be extended north-west to intersect with Percy Street. The extended Church Street is suggested to be constructed as a one-way (south-east movement only) link to allow traffic departing towards the east and south from the Gelibolu Precinct to disperse via the intersection of Olympic Drive/ Church Street. This will significantly reduce the traffic on the intersection of Boorea Road/ St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street.

The above opportunity may require a boom gate control of the north-western end section of Church Street to maintain access to PCYC Auburn, Parramatta Basketball Association and Lidcombe Oval. This boom gate could be opened during the AM and PM peak periods (both school and commuter peak) as well as during the Friday's prayer session and during major events held at Wyatt Park, to provide access to Church Street and Olympic Drive. Outside of these peak periods, the boom gate would remain closed.

The proposed boom gate operation would prevent the use of Church Street as a "rat run" to Olympic Drive, ensuring safety for school children and Wyatt Park users while at the same time, providing access for traffic associated with the residential developments, proposed school, Wyatt Parl and Friday prayer session.

Appropriate signage will be required at the intersection of Church Street/ Percy Street to provide guidance for vehicles near the area. The signage should include "No Access When Gate Closed. Emergency Vehicles and Wyatt Park Staff Excepted"

Given the low utilisation of the existing on-street car parking along Church Street for the Council Athletic Field during the school peak hours, there may also be an opportunity to use this parking facility to cater for pick-up/ drop-off activities.

It is suggested that this proposal, as shown in Figure 9, be discussed further with Council.



Figure 9: Possible Mitigation Measures

Base source: Nearmap

School Traffic Management Plan

In addition to such mitigation measures, it is suggested that the future school could develop and implement a traffic management plan (TMP), which is commonly implemented by schools in the



metropolitan Sydney region and can work effectively to manage the traffic impacts at drop off and pick up times.

The suggested TMP can communicate the school's policies and practices around traffic management to staff, parents and the school community, and help assist schools to proactively identify and resolve any issues/ challenges. The TMP will be designed to prompt the proposed school to:

- identify their current traffic management arrangements
- o identify common problems and find solutions
- develop an action plan to address issues.

A TMP will benefit schools, students, parents, caregivers, general traffic and surrounding residents. The proposed school may choose to establish a traffic management committee or appoint a traffic management champion to coordinate the development of the plan and to monitor its effectiveness. A typical TMP may include, but is not limited to:

- On-site parking within the proposed school site is reserved for selected school staff members and secondary school students.
- Parents, caregivers and other visitors to the school during the hours of 7am 9am and 2pm 4pm are required to park on Percy Street, Gelibolu Parade and Church Street.
- Time restricted on-street parking to discourage the use of private vehicles by school staff members and secondary school students.
- School students can be set down in the set-down and pick-up location, but the driver must remain with the vehicle.
- Kindergarten and primary school students who are being picked up from school will be brought to the pick-up location, shortly after end of class to allow for quick and efficient pick-up operation.
- Staff are to encourage parents to move efficiently through the pick-up/ drop-off zone and not to park and loiter for extended periods.
- Staff are to encourage parents who are visiting for a longer period or socialising with other parents to park outside the pick-up zone and walk the remaining distance.

Alternative Travel Options

In addition to the traffic management measures that could be implemented with a TMP, there is the potential to encourage alternative travel options by providing the following:

- A Sustainable Transport Plan for staff, students and parents that encourage the use of sustainable public transport including:
 - Identification of nearby public transport options such as Auburn and Lidcombe rail stations and bus services along Church Street, Queen Street, Rawson Street and South Parade.
 - Identification of safe walking routes
 - Identification of nearby bicycles facilities.
- A carpooling database that parents can sign up to and arrange to car share with other families.
- Initiatives that encourage active travel such as minimising the number of days that students must bring equipment, instruments and/ or books to school with them in order to minimise barriers to active travel.
- An on-site bus pick-up/ set-down facility.
- Covered bicycle parking and lockers.
- School buses from Auburn and Lidcombe Rail Stations as a means of travel for students to and from school. These services could be made available for eligible students at concession fares.



- School bus program that allows travel at no cost to eligible students. Students deemed ineligible to travel at no cost may access a school bus service upon payment of a fare.
- Considering on-site design options that incorporate drop off/ pick up areas into parking layouts.

Summary

This assessment has been based upon a worst case/ maximum scenario and indicates that the proposed development is anticipated to generate up to 638 and 70 vehicle trips during the AM/ PM school peak hours and PM commuter peak hour, respectively. The intersection of Station Road/ Rawson Street and Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street currently experiences some queuing and delays during both the AM and PM peak periods.

With the traffic associated with the potential FSR increase, it is expected there would be significant traffic congestion causing the intersections of Station Road/ Rawson Street and Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street to experience peak period queuing and delays regardless of any possible future school. The cumulative impact of traffic associated with the potential FSR increase and the proposed development will result in further deterioration of the intersection performance, with both intersections expected to operate at an unacceptable Level of Service F.

It is noted that the proposed development traffic represents a minor overall impact, having regard to the existing traffic volumes, with no more than nine per cent during the AM and PM school peak hours and no more than one per cent during the PM commuter peak hour. Therefore, the proposed school represents only a small proportion of the change in intersection operation, which is considered minimal in relation to the impact of increased FSR. It should also be noted that this assessment has been based upon car travel forming the 100 per cent of travel demand, where in reality, there would be opportunities to promote alternatives especially bus and train, given the site's location in relation Auburn and Lidcombe Stations.

There are a number of possible mitigation measures that can be explored further with Council such as the possibility of extending Church Street north-west to intersect with Percy Street to address future congestion issues at the intersection of Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road/ Rawson Street. The extended Church Street is recommended to be a one-way (south-east movement only) link, with boom gate control. The impact of the traffic associated with the development can also be further reduced with the provision of other measures such as a traffic management plan, adequate bus accessibility, safer pedestrian and cycle routes/ crossings. These are items for consideration during the Development Application stage.

I trust this provides the information you require. Should you have any questions or require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me in our Sydney office on (02) 8448 1800.

Yours sincerely

GTA CONSULTANTS

Karen McNatty Associate encl. Attachment 1 Attachment 2 Attachment 3

SIDRA Assessment for Existing Conditions SIDRA Assessment for Future Conditions + Proposed Development SIDRA Assessment for Future Conditions + Potential FSR Increase + Proposed Development



Attachment 1

SIDRA Assessment for Existing Conditions

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road AM]

AM Peak: 7:30am-8:30am

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	erformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h
South	: St Hillier	s Street									
1a	L1	3	0.0	0.143	72.1	LOS E	0.8	5.7	0.99	0.68	17.8
3a	R1	9	0.0	0.143	71.5	LOS E	0.8	5.7	0.99	0.68	18.2
3b	R3	11	0.0	0.140	74.8	LOS E	0.7	4.8	0.99	0.68	13.3
Appro	bach	23	0.0	0.143	73.1	LOS E	0.8	5.7	0.99	0.68	16.0
South	East: Boo	orea Street									
21b	L3	8	0.0	0.221	13.7	LOS B	6.2	46.7	0.38	0.34	43.0
22	T1	560	8.8	0.221	7.3	LOS A	6.2	47.0	0.38	0.34	45.3
23	R2	1654	7.8	0.752	36.2	LOS D	31.3	233.7	0.87	0.85	22.2
Appro	bach	2222	8.1	0.752	28.8	LOS C	31.3	233.7	0.74	0.72	25.5
North	East: St H	lilliers Road									
24	L2	1205	10.3	0.566	21.3	LOS C	22.2	169.0	0.64	0.78	29.1
24a	L1	13	8.3	0.595	64.7	LOS E	7.7	56.1	1.00	0.80	18.9
26	R2	234	5.0	0.595	66.4	LOS E	7.7	56.1	1.00	0.80	18.9
Appro	bach	1452	9.4	0.595	29.0	LOS C	22.2	169.0	0.70	0.78	26.0
North	West: Rav	wson Street									
27	L2	169	11.2	0.738	41.8	LOS D	15.4	115.4	0.99	0.93	26.0
28	T1	671	5.3	0.738	49.0	LOS D	17.1	125.2	0.98	0.88	18.7
Appro	bach	840	6.5	0.738	47.5	LOS D	17.1	125.2	0.98	0.89	20.2
All Ve	hicles	4537	8.2	0.752	32.5	LOS C	31.3	233.7	0.77	0.77	24.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P1	South Full Crossing	53	8.2	LOS A	0.1	0.1	0.35	0.35
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	59.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.96	0.96
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	57.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	53.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.91	0.91
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	47.5	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.86	0.86
All Pe	destrians	263	45.2	LOS E			0.80	0.80

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street AM]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total		Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed	
-יט		veh/h	HV %	Sath v/c	sec	Service	venicies veh	Distance	Queued	per veh	speed km/h	
South	East: Raw	son Street										
21	L2	240	4.8	0.174	8.8	LOS A	3.9	28.7	0.28	0.62	31.3	
22	T1	534	8.5	0.590	17.3	LOS B	19.8	148.5	0.64	0.57	25.7	
Appro	ach	774	7.3	0.590	14.7	LOS B	19.8	148.5	0.53	0.59	27.2	
North	East: Stati	on Road										
24	L2	54	3.9	0.591	62.5	LOS E	9.5	66.9	0.99	0.80	15.8	
25	T1	261	0.0	0.591	59.1	LOS E	9.7	67.9	0.99	0.80	14.9	
Appro	bach	315	0.7	0.591	59.7	LOS E	9.7	67.9	0.99	0.80	15.1	
North	West: Raw	/son Street										
27	L2	36	5.9	0.298	19.4	LOS B	9.8	75.8	0.55	0.50	31.4	
28	T1	401	12.3	0.298	17.9	LOS B	9.8	75.8	0.59	0.54	24.7	
29	R2	51	4.2	0.298	29.2	LOS C	7.1	54.3	0.68	0.62	18.7	
Appro	bach	487	11.0	0.298	19.2	LOS B	9.8	75.8	0.60	0.55	24.6	
South	West: Stat	tion Road										
30	L2	79	8.0	0.136	35.9	LOS C	3.5	25.9	0.74	0.70	14.5	
31	T1	319	6.3	0.583	37.6	LOS C	16.2	119.7	0.86	0.74	20.1	
32	R2	219	2.9	0.600	55.0	LOS D	12.2	87.9	0.96	1.01	11.3	
Appro	bach	617	5.3	0.600	43.5	LOS D	16.2	119.7	0.88	0.83	16.0	
All Ve	hicles	2193	6.6	0.600	30.2	LOS C	19.8	148.5	0.71	0.68	19.7	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	36.3	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.75	0.75
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	16.3	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.50	0.50
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	36.3	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.75	0.75
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	17.8	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.52	0.52
All Pe	destrians	211	26.7	LOS C			0.63	0.63

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: GTA CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 12 July 2017 9:51:20 AM Project: \\gta.com.au\projectfiles\ProjectFilesSyd\N12400-12499\N124470 - 2 Percy Street, Auburn\Modelling\170712 N124470 2 Percy Street,

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road PM]

PM Peak: 3:45pm-4:45pm

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total	ΗV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed	
South	: St Hillier	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/h	
1a	L1	5	0.0	0.378	73.8	LOS E	2.1	15.5	1.00	0.72	17.6	
3a	R1	27	3.8	0.378	73.3	LOS E	2.1	15.5	1.00	0.72	17.9	
3b	R3	23	4.5	0.318	76.3	LOS E	1.5	11.2	1.00	0.71	13.1	
Appro	bach	56	3.8	0.378	74.6	LOS E	2.1	15.5	1.00	0.72	15.9	
South	East: Boo	rea Street										
21b	L3	19	0.0	0.254	17.6	LOS B	8.2	59.3	0.47	0.43	38.0	
22	T1	584	4.7	0.254	11.1	LOS B	8.3	60.1	0.47	0.42	40.0	
23	R2	1198	8.0	0.657	40.8	LOS D	22.7	170.0	0.87	0.83	20.6	
Appro	bach	1801	6.8	0.657	30.9	LOS C	22.7	170.0	0.74	0.70	24.6	
North	East: St H	illiers Road										
24	L2	1538	7.7	0.639	26.4	LOS C	27.4	204.1	0.72	0.81	22.7	
24a	L1	16	26.7	0.639	52.4	LOS D	15.1	112.6	0.95	0.83	21.1	
26	R2	288	4.4	0.639	57.8	LOS E	15.1	112.6	0.97	0.83	20.7	
Appro	bach	1842	7.3	0.639	31.6	LOS C	27.4	204.1	0.76	0.81	22.2	
North	West: Rav	vson Street										
27	L2	189	4.4	0.617	28.1	LOS C	11.2	81.1	0.92	0.82	32.3	
28	T1	597	3.9	0.617	43.4	LOS D	14.2	102.5	0.95	0.80	20.3	
Appro	bach	786	4.0	0.617	39.7	LOS D	14.2	102.5	0.94	0.80	22.9	
All Ve	hicles	4485	6.5	0.657	33.3	LOS C	27.4	204.1	0.79	0.76	23.1	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per pec
P1	South Full Crossing	53	11.7	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.42	0.42
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	53.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.91	0.91
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	49.2	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.87	0.87
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	52.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	46.6	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.85	0.85
All Pe	destrians	263	42.8	LOS E			0.79	0.79

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street PM]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 130 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment Pe	rformance	- Vehic	امع							
Mov	OD	Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Average
ID	Mov	Total veh/h	HV %	Satn v/c	Delay sec	Service	Vehicles veh	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per veh	Speed km/h
South	East: Raw	/son Street									
21	L2	348	1.5	0.257	10.3	LOS A	6.9	49.3	0.35	0.65	29.6
22	T1	452	8.6	0.609	21.4	LOS B	18.1	135.9	0.69	0.61	23.1
Appro	bach	800	5.5	0.609	16.6	LOS B	18.1	135.9	0.54	0.63	25.4
North	East: Stati	on Road									
24	L2	49	4.3	0.589	59.1	LOS E	11.1	80.7	0.97	0.81	16.4
25	T1	325	4.9	0.589	55.8	LOS D	11.2	81.8	0.97	0.81	15.5
Appro	bach	375	4.8	0.589	56.2	LOS D	11.2	81.8	0.97	0.81	15.6
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	60	1.8	0.402	24.9	LOS B	14.8	107.8	0.66	0.61	27.8
28	T1	446	5.0	0.402	23.3	LOS B	14.8	107.8	0.69	0.63	21.5
29	R2	84	0.0	0.402	35.9	LOS C	9.3	66.7	0.77	0.71	16.0
Appro	bach	591	3.9	0.402	25.2	LOS B	14.8	107.8	0.70	0.64	21.3
South	West: Sta	tion Road									
30	L2	100	2.1	0.139	30.3	LOS C	4.0	28.6	0.68	0.69	16.2
31	T1	291	1.8	0.434	30.1	LOS C	13.0	92.6	0.76	0.65	22.8
32	R2	261	0.8	0.595	50.8	LOS D	14.0	98.5	0.94	1.01	12.0
Appro	bach	652	1.5	0.595	38.4	LOS C	14.0	98.5	0.82	0.80	17.0
All Ve	hicles	2417	3.9	0.609	30.7	LOS C	18.1	135.9	0.72	0.71	19.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	30.5	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.69	0.69
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	20.5	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.56	0.56
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	30.5	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.69	0.69
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	22.3	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.59	0.59
All Pe	destrians	211	26.0	LOS C			0.63	0.63

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 7.0 | Copyright © 2000-2017 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: GTA CONSULTANTS | Processed: Wednesday, 12 July 2017 10:04:05 AM Project: \\gta.com.au\projectfiles\ProjectFilesSyd\N12400-12499\N124470 - 2 Percy Street, Auburn\Modelling\170712 N124470 2 Percy Street,



Attachment 2

SIDRA Assessment for Future Conditions + Potential FSR Increase

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street AM (H)]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ment Po	rformance	- Vehic	<u> </u>							
Mov	OD	Demand		Deq.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Average
ID	Mov	Total veh/h	HV %	Satn v/c	Delay sec	Service	Vehicles veh	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate per veh	Speed km/h
South	East: Raw	son Street									
21	L2	475	1.3	0.373	12.7	LOS A	11.3	80.0	0.45	0.69	27.4
22	T1	701	7.6	1.127	182.2	LOS F	88.2	658.0	1.00	1.82	4.5
Appro	bach	1176	5.1	1.127	113.8	LOS F	88.2	658.0	0.78	1.36	6.6
North	East: Stati	on Road									
24	L2	66	7.7	1.074	150.6	LOS F	22.3	159.5	1.00	1.46	7.8
25	T1	379	0.6	1.074	147.0	LOS F	22.9	161.2	1.00	1.46	7.3
Appro	bach	445	1.7	1.074	147.5	LOS F	22.9	161.2	1.00	1.46	7.3
North	West: Raw	/son Street									
27	L2	44	0.0	0.537	21.2	LOS B	20.1	151.3	0.66	0.61	30.3
28	T1	523	9.5	0.537	16.6	LOS B	20.1	151.3	0.66	0.61	25.9
29	R2	96	3.1	0.613	63.7	LOS E	5.6	40.5	1.00	0.85	10.0
Appro	bach	663	7.9	0.613	23.7	LOS B	20.1	151.3	0.71	0.65	21.9
South	West: Sta	tion Road									
30	L2	124	1.8	0.159	26.0	LOS B	4.4	31.4	0.65	0.69	17.8
31	T1	499	1.3	0.939	64.9	LOS E	34.9	247.4	0.98	1.13	14.1
32	R2	419	1.6	1.127	151.9	LOS F	45.8	325.2	1.00	1.40	3.9
Appro	bach	1042	1.5	1.127	95.3	LOS F	45.8	325.2	0.95	1.19	7.7
All Ve	hicles	3326	4.1	1.127	94.5	LOS F	88.2	658.0	0.85	1.18	8.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of .	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	34.6	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.76	0.76
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	15.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.51	0.51
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	34.6	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.76	0.76
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	24.1	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.63	0.63
All Pe	destrians	211	27.2	LOS C			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street PM (H)]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 115 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

		rformance									
Mov	OD	Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back		Prop.	Effective	Average
ID	Mov	Total veh/h	HV %	Satn v/c	Delay sec	Service	Vehicles veh	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate per veh	Speed km/h
South	nEast [.] Raw	/son Street	70	V/C	Sec	_	ven	m	_	per ven	K111/1
21	L2	654	1.3	0.855	24.7	LOS B	23.5	166.5	0.54	0.78	19.7
22	T1	656	3.8	1.315	341.4	LOS F	110.3	797.4	1.00	2.56	2.5
Appro	oach	1309	2.6	1.315	183.3	LOS F	110.3	797.4	0.77	1.67	4.3
North	East: Stati	on Road									
24	L2	63	0.0	1.273	308.9	LOS F	42.1	296.5	1.00	2.04	4.1
25	T1	492	1.1	1.273	305.6	LOS F	42.4	299.9	1.00	2.04	3.8
Appro	oach	555	1.0	1.273	306.0	LOS F	42.4	299.9	1.00	2.04	3.8
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	75	1.6	0.734	29.1	LOS C	30.7	218.0	0.86	0.78	25.7
28	T1	623	1.6	0.734	24.5	LOS B	30.7	218.0	0.86	0.78	21.2
29	R2	139	1.6	0.846	68.3	LOS E	8.3	58.7	1.00	1.06	9.4
Appro	oach	837	1.6	0.846	32.2	LOS C	30.7	218.0	0.88	0.83	18.3
South	nWest: Sta	tion Road									
30	L2	157	1.2	0.169	19.7	LOS B	4.7	33.1	0.57	0.67	20.7
31	T1	483	0.9	0.757	30.5	LOS C	22.0	155.0	0.86	0.77	22.6
32	R2	585	0.3	1.161	180.4	LOS F	66.8	468.5	1.00	1.52	3.5
Appro	oach	1225	0.7	1.161	100.7	LOS F	66.8	468.5	0.89	1.11	7.1
All Ve	ehicles	3926	1.5	1.315	142.6	LOS F	110.3	797.4	0.86	1.37	5.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of <i>i</i>	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	27.2	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.69	0.69
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	20.1	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.59	0.59
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	27.2	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.69	0.69
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	30.0	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.72	0.72
All Pe	destrians	211	26.1	LOS C			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road AM (H)]

AM Peak: 7:30am-8:30am

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	erformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total	ΗV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed
South	: St Hillier	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/h
1a	L1	3 311001	0.0	0.165	83.5	LOS F	0.9	6.6	0.99	0.68	16.1
		-									
3a	R1	9	0.0	0.165	83.0	LOS F	0.9	6.6	0.99	0.68	16.4
3b	R3	11	16.7	0.180	87.2	LOS F	0.8	6.4	0.99	0.68	11.8
Appro	bach	23	7.6	0.180	85.0	LOS F	0.9	6.6	0.99	0.68	14.3
South	East: Boo	rea Street									
21b	L3	8	0.0	0.266	15.5	LOS B	9.2	67.6	0.40	0.37	40.7
22	T1	682	5.8	0.266	9.0	LOS A	9.2	67.9	0.40	0.36	42.7
23	R2	2001	5.8	1.022	120.3	LOS F	80.6	592.6	1.00	1.14	9.2
Appro	bach	2692	5.8	1.022	91.7	LOS F	80.6	592.6	0.85	0.94	11.6
North	East: St H	lilliers Road									
24	L2	1315	7.1	0.627	26.5	LOS C	30.5	226.7	0.70	0.80	26.2
24a	L1	13	11.1	0.985	112.7	LOS F	25.3	182.0	1.00	1.08	12.6
26	R2	513	3.0	0.985	114.3	LOS F	25.3	181.6	1.00	1.08	12.8
Appro	bach	1840	6.0	0.985	51.6	LOS D	30.5	226.7	0.79	0.88	19.0
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	199	2.9	0.959	90.3	LOS F	39.6	288.8	1.00	1.21	15.8
28	T1	988	6.5	0.959	89.0	LOS F	40.5	298.9	0.98	1.15	12.1
Appro	bach	1187	5.9	0.959	89.3	LOS F	40.5	298.9	0.98	1.16	12.7
All Ve	hicles	5742	5.9	1.022	78.3	LOS E	80.6	592.6	0.86	0.97	13.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P1	South Full Crossing	53	9.4	LOS A	0.1	0.1	0.35	0.35
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	65.5	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	60.9	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	53.9	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.85	0.85
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	48.1	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.80	0.80
All Pe	destrians	263	47.5	LOS E			0.77	0.77

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road PM (H)]

PM Peak: 3:45pm-4:45pm

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 131 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	erformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed
South	: St Hillier		70	V/C	Sec	_	ven	m	_	per ven	km/h
1a	L1	5	0.0	0.399	74.6	LOS E	2.2	16.4	1.00	0.72	17.5
3a	R1	28	6.3	0.399	74.0	LOS E	2.2	16.4	1.00	0.72	17.7
3b	R3	24	8.3	0.343	77.2	LOS E	1.6	12.2	1.00	0.71	13.0
Appro	ach	58	6.6	0.399	75.4	LOS E	2.2	16.4	1.00	0.72	15.7
South	East: Boo	orea Street									
21b	L3	19	0.0	0.318	19.0	LOS B	11.0	79.4	0.51	0.47	36.6
22	T1	728	3.3	0.318	12.5	LOS B	11.1	80.2	0.51	0.46	38.4
23	R2	1536	3.7	1.010	112.2	LOS F	54.6	394.0	1.00	1.15	9.9
Appro	ach	2283	3.5	1.010	79.6	LOS E	54.6	394.0	0.84	0.93	13.0
North	East: St H	lilliers Road									
24	L2	1758	4.5	0.877	38.4	LOS D	52.5	382.0	0.94	0.93	21.3
24a	L1	17	0.0	0.976	96.5	LOS F	30.3	216.1	1.00	1.09	14.4
26	R2	693	2.2	0.976	98.3	LOS F	30.3	216.1	1.00	1.09	14.3
Appro	ach	2467	3.8	0.976	55.6	LOS E	52.5	382.0	0.96	0.98	18.1
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	295	0.0	0.943	67.7	LOS E	34.3	242.2	1.00	1.15	19.4
28	T1	1006	2.6	0.943	72.3	LOS E	36.9	263.9	0.98	1.12	14.2
Appro	ach	1301	2.0	0.943	71.3	LOS E	36.9	263.9	0.98	1.13	15.4
All Ve	hicles	6109	3.4	1.010	68.1	LOS E	54.6	394.0	0.92	0.99	15.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P1	South Full Crossing	53	12.4	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.44	0.44
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	52.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	48.0	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.86	0.86
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	47.1	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.85	0.85
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	41.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.80	0.80
All Pe	destrians	263	40.3	LOS E			0.77	0.77

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road PM Com (H)]

PM Peak: 3:45pm-4:45pm

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 131 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	erformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h
South	: St Hillier										
1a	L1	4	0.0	0.349	74.3	LOS E	2.0	14.3	1.00	0.72	17.5
3a	R1	25	6.3	0.349	73.7	LOS E	2.0	14.3	1.00	0.72	17.7
3b	R3	26	8.3	0.373	77.4	LOS E	1.8	13.3	1.00	0.72	12.9
Appro	bach	56	6.8	0.373	75.5	LOS E	2.0	14.3	1.00	0.72	15.5
South	East: Boo	orea Street									
21b	L3	13	0.0	0.329	18.6	LOS B	11.5	82.7	0.51	0.46	37.1
22	T1	772	3.3	0.329	12.1	LOS B	11.6	83.3	0.51	0.45	38.9
23	R2	1599	3.7	1.029	122.7	LOS F	59.8	431.9	1.00	1.19	9.1
Appro	bach	2383	3.6	1.029	86.4	LOS F	59.8	431.9	0.84	0.95	12.2
North	East: St H	lilliers Road									
24	L2	1936	4.5	0.965	67.2	LOS E	79.1	575.2	1.00	1.05	13.4
24a	L1	15	0.0	0.965	92.3	LOS F	28.1	200.5	1.00	1.07	14.9
26	R2	659	2.2	0.965	93.8	LOS F	28.1	200.5	1.00	1.07	14.8
Appro	bach	2609	3.9	0.965	74.1	LOS E	79.1	575.2	1.00	1.06	13.9
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	279	0.0	0.952	72.3	LOS E	35.8	253.1	1.00	1.18	18.5
28	T1	1025	2.6	0.952	75.7	LOS E	38.0	272.1	0.98	1.14	13.7
Appro	bach	1304	2.0	0.952	74.9	LOS E	38.0	272.1	0.98	1.15	14.7
All Ve	hicles	6353	3.4	1.029	78.9	LOS E	79.1	575.2	0.94	1.03	13.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P1	South Full Crossing	53	12.0	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.43	0.43
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	53.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	48.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.86	0.86
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	47.1	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.85	0.85
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	41.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.80	0.80
All Pe	destrians	263	40.5	LOS E			0.77	0.77

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street PM Com (H)]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 115 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	rformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov	OD	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Average
ID	Mov	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Speed
0 11		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/h
South		son Street									
21	L2	692	1.3	0.860	24.4	LOS B	25.0	176.6	0.54	0.78	19.9
22	T1	609	3.8	1.224	263.1	LOS F	89.8	649.3	1.00	2.24	3.2
Appro	bach	1301	2.5	1.224	136.2	LOS F	89.8	649.3	0.76	1.47	5.6
North	East: Stati	on Road									
24	L2	49	0.0	1.183	232.9	LOS F	30.6	215.6	1.00	1.76	5.3
25	T1	426	1.1	1.183	229.6	LOS F	30.8	217.9	1.00	1.77	4.9
Appro	bach	476	1.0	1.183	229.9	LOS F	30.8	217.9	1.00	1.77	4.9
North	West: Raw	vson Street									
27	L2	69	1.6	0.727	27.7	LOS B	30.8	218.8	0.84	0.77	26.4
28	T1	646	1.6	0.727	23.1	LOS B	30.8	218.8	0.84	0.77	21.9
29	R2	137	1.6	0.833	67.5	LOS E	8.1	57.4	1.00	1.04	9.5
Appro	bach	853	1.6	0.833	30.6	LOS C	30.8	218.8	0.87	0.81	18.9
South	West: Sta	tion Road									
30	L2	148	1.2	0.166	20.8	LOS B	4.6	32.3	0.59	0.68	20.1
31	T1	512	0.9	0.830	37.6	LOS C	26.4	186.3	0.90	0.87	20.1
32	R2	542	0.3	1.111	138.7	LOS F	54.6	382.9	1.00	1.39	4.2
Appro	bach	1202	0.7	1.111	81.1	LOS F	54.6	382.9	0.91	1.08	8.4
All Ve	hicles	3832	1.5	1.224	107.1	LOS F	89.8	649.3	0.86	1.24	7.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of a	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	28.6	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	19.0	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.58	0.58
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	28.6	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	28.6	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
All Pe	destrians	211	26.2	LOS C			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.



Attachment 3

SIDRA Assessment for Future Conditions + Potential FSR Increase + Proposed Development

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road AM (H) - Dev]

AM Peak: 7:30am-8:30am

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 150 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	erformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Average Speed
שו	IVIOV	veh/h	пv %	v/c	Sec	Service	venicies veh	m	Queueu	per veh	km/h
South	: St Hillier	rs Street									
1a	L1	3	0.0	0.903	90.8	LOS F	6.9	48.0	0.96	0.97	15.2
3a	R1	82	0.0	0.903	90.3	LOS F	6.9	48.0	0.96	0.97	15.5
3b	R3	173	16.7	1.208	276.4	LOS F	27.0	216.2	1.00	1.42	4.2
Appro	ach	258	11.2	1.208	214.9	LOS F	27.0	216.2	0.99	1.27	6.0
South	East: Boo	orea Street									
21b	L3	8	0.0	0.303	21.4	LOS C	11.9	87.0	0.52	0.46	34.4
22	T1	682	5.8	0.303	14.9	LOS B	11.9	87.4	0.52	0.46	36.1
23	R2	2055	5.8	1.174	236.7	LOS F	113.4	833.1	1.00	1.42	5.0
Appro	ach	2745	5.8	1.174	181.0	LOS F	113.4	833.1	0.88	1.18	6.4
North	East: St H	lilliers Road									
24	L2	1315	7.1	0.680	31.6	LOS C	34.1	253.3	0.78	0.83	23.8
24a	L1	121	11.1	1.194	257.4	LOS F	47.8	351.9	1.00	1.51	6.1
26	R2	513	3.0	1.194	259.0	LOS F	48.2	346.2	1.00	1.45	6.2
Appro	ach	1948	6.3	1.194	105.5	LOS F	48.2	351.9	0.85	1.04	11.1
North	West: Rav	wson Street									
27	L2	199	2.9	1.141	185.9	LOS F	49.1	358.4	1.00	1.40	7.4
28	T1	988	6.5	1.141	202.9	LOS F	61.2	452.0	1.00	1.55	5.6
Appro	ach	1187	5.9	1.141	200.1	LOS F	61.2	452.0	1.00	1.53	5.9
All Ve	hicles	6139	6.2	1.208	162.1	LOS F	113.4	833.1	0.90	1.21	7.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service		Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per ped
P1	South Full Crossing	53	14.6	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.44	0.44
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	65.5	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	60.9	LOS F	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	59.1	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.89	0.89
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	53.0	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.84	0.84
All Pe	destrians	263	50.6	LOS E			0.80	0.80

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street AM (H) - Dev]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 120 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	rformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h
South	East: Raw	/son Street	,,,				Von				
21	L2	493	1.3	0.387	12.8	LOS A	11.9	84.2	0.45	0.69	27.3
22	T1	755	7.6	1.202	244.0	LOS F	109.9	819.1	1.00	2.11	3.4
Appro	bach	1247	5.1	1.202	152.7	LOS F	109.9	819.1	0.78	1.55	5.1
North	East: Stati	on Road									
24	L2	76	7.7	1.114	180.5	LOS F	25.6	183.6	1.00	1.57	6.6
25	T1	385	0.6	1.114	176.9	LOS F	26.4	185.8	1.00	1.57	6.2
Appro	bach	461	1.8	1.114	177.5	LOS F	26.4	185.8	1.00	1.57	6.2
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	44	0.0	0.567	21.6	LOS B	21.8	163.9	0.68	0.63	30.1
28	T1	555	9.5	0.567	17.0	LOS B	21.8	163.9	0.68	0.63	25.6
29	R2	96	3.1	0.613	63.7	LOS E	5.6	40.5	1.00	0.85	10.0
Appro	bach	695	8.0	0.613	23.8	LOS B	21.8	163.9	0.72	0.66	21.8
South	West: Sta	tion Road									
30	L2	124	1.8	0.159	26.0	LOS B	4.4	31.4	0.65	0.69	17.8
31	T1	499	1.3	0.939	64.9	LOS E	34.9	247.4	0.98	1.13	14.1
32	R2	435	1.6	1.170	187.4	LOS F	52.6	373.3	1.00	1.49	3.3
Appro	bach	1058	1.5	1.170	110.7	LOS F	52.6	373.3	0.95	1.23	6.9
All Ve	hicles	3461	4.1	1.202	117.3	LOS F	109.9	819.1	0.85	1.28	6.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of .	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	34.6	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.76	0.76
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	15.5	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.51	0.51
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	34.6	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.76	0.76
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	24.1	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.63	0.63
All Pe	destrians	211	27.2	LOS C			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road PM (H) - Dev]

PM Peak: 3:45pm-4:45pm

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 131 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	erformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h
South	: St Hillier										
1a	L1	5	0.0	0.957	96.8	LOS F	7.5	55.5	0.97	1.06	14.5
3a	R1	91	6.3	0.957	96.3	LOS F	7.5	55.5	0.97	1.06	14.6
3b	R3	164	8.3	1.186	249.6	LOS F	23.1	173.0	1.00	1.45	4.6
Appro	ach	260	7.4	1.186	193.1	LOS F	23.1	173.0	0.99	1.31	6.7
South	East: Boo	rea Street									
21b	L3	19	0.0	0.363	24.5	LOS C	13.3	95.6	0.61	0.55	31.7
22	T1	728	3.3	0.363	18.1	LOS B	13.4	96.5	0.61	0.54	33.2
23	R2	1582	3.7	1.161	222.4	LOS F	78.4	566.3	1.00	1.46	5.3
Appro	bach	2329	3.5	1.161	156.9	LOS F	78.4	566.3	0.88	1.17	7.3
North	East: St H	illiers Road									
24	L2	1758	4.5	0.941	59.9	LOS E	66.5	483.2	1.00	1.02	15.9
24a	L1	143	0.0	1.143	206.5	LOS F	54.3	385.0	1.00	1.48	7.6
26	R2	693	2.2	1.143	208.3	LOS F	54.3	385.0	1.00	1.43	7.6
Appro	bach	2594	3.6	1.143	107.6	LOS F	66.5	483.2	1.00	1.15	11.1
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	295	0.0	1.096	147.7	LOS F	48.8	344.7	1.00	1.39	9.2
28	T1	1006	2.6	1.096	161.1	LOS F	54.1	386.8	1.00	1.51	6.9
Appro	bach	1301	2.0	1.096	158.1	LOS F	54.1	386.8	1.00	1.48	7.5
All Ve	hicles	6484	3.4	1.186	138.9	LOS F	78.4	566.3	0.95	1.23	8.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per ped
P1	South Full Crossing	53	17.2	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.51	0.51
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	52.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.90	0.90
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	48.0	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.86	0.86
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	51.5	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.89	0.89
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	45.4	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.83	0.83
All Pe	destrians	263	42.9	LOS E			0.80	0.80

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street PM (H) - Dev]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 115 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	rformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h
South	East: Raw	/son Street	70				Von				
21	L2	669	1.3	0.889	32.0	LOS C	27.6	195.6	0.55	0.81	16.9
22	T1	702	3.8	1.327	351.5	LOS F	120.3	869.6	1.00	2.60	2.4
Appro	bach	1372	2.6	1.327	195.6	LOS F	120.3	869.6	0.78	1.73	4.0
North	East: Stati	on Road									
24	L2	74	0.0	1.315	344.6	LOS F	46.2	325.4	1.00	2.14	3.7
25	T1	499	1.1	1.315	341.3	LOS F	46.7	329.7	1.00	2.15	3.4
Appro	bach	573	1.0	1.315	341.7	LOS F	46.7	329.7	1.00	2.15	3.4
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	75	1.6	0.732	27.2	LOS B	31.5	223.6	0.84	0.77	26.7
28	T1	659	1.6	0.732	22.7	LOS B	31.5	223.6	0.84	0.77	22.1
29	R2	139	1.6	0.846	68.3	LOS E	8.3	58.7	1.00	1.06	9.4
Appro	bach	873	1.6	0.846	30.3	LOS C	31.5	223.6	0.86	0.82	19.0
South	West: Sta	tion Road									
30	L2	157	1.2	0.178	21.5	LOS B	4.9	34.9	0.60	0.68	19.8
31	T1	483	0.9	0.814	36.7	LOS C	24.4	172.0	0.89	0.85	20.4
32	R2	603	0.3	1.324	321.4	LOS F	93.4	655.7	1.00	1.86	2.2
Appro	bach	1243	0.6	1.324	172.9	LOS F	93.4	655.7	0.91	1.32	4.7
All Ve	hicles	4060	1.5	1.327	173.7	LOS F	120.3	869.6	0.87	1.47	4.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of a	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	29.3	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	18.4	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.57	0.57
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	29.3	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.71	0.71
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	27.9	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.70	0.70
All Pe	destrians	211	26.2	LOS C			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Boorea Street/ St Hilliers Road PM Com (H) - Dev]

PM Peak: 3:45pm-4:45pm

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 131 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	erformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov	OD	Demand		Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back		Prop.	Effective	Average
ID	Mov	Total veh/h	HV %	Satn v/c	Delay sec	Service	Vehicles veh	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per veh	Speed km/h
South	: St Hillier		70							per rem	
1a	L1	4	0.0	0.546	73.6	LOS E	2.9	21.5	1.00	0.75	17.7
3a	R1	40	6.3	0.546	73.1	LOS E	2.9	21.5	1.00	0.75	17.9
3b	R3	60	8.3	0.902	88.6	LOS F	4.5	33.4	1.00	0.96	11.6
Appro	bach	104	7.2	0.902	82.0	LOS F	4.5	33.4	1.00	0.87	14.2
South	East: Boo	orea Street									
21b	L3	13	0.0	0.329	18.6	LOS B	11.5	82.7	0.51	0.46	37.1
22	T1	772	3.3	0.329	12.1	LOS B	11.6	83.3	0.51	0.45	38.9
23	R2	1611	3.7	1.014	113.3	LOS F	58.0	419.0	1.00	1.16	9.8
Appro	bach	2395	3.6	1.014	80.2	LOS F	58.0	419.0	0.84	0.93	13.0
North	East: St H	lilliers Road									
24	L2	1936	4.5	0.965	67.4	LOS E	79.2	576.0	1.00	1.05	14.6
24a	L1	15	0.0	1.005	111.3	LOS F	30.9	220.1	1.00	1.14	12.9
26	R2	659	2.2	1.005	113.1	LOS F	30.9	220.1	1.00	1.14	12.8
Appro	bach	2609	3.9	1.005	79.2	LOS E	79.2	576.0	1.00	1.07	14.0
North	West: Rav	wson Street									
27	L2	279	0.0	0.981	86.9	LOS F	39.9	282.2	1.00	1.25	16.2
28	T1	1025	2.6	0.981	89.1	LOS F	41.0	293.6	0.98	1.22	12.0
Appro	bach	1304	2.0	0.981	88.7	LOS F	41.0	293.6	0.99	1.22	13.0
All Ve	hicles	6413	3.4	1.014	81.5	LOS F	79.2	576.0	0.94	1.05	13.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of Average Back of Queue			Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow ped/h	Delay sec	Service	Pedestrian ped	Distance m	Queued	Stop Rate per ped
P1	South Full Crossing	53	12.0	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.43	0.43
P51	SouthEast Stage 1	53	54.2	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.91	0.91
P52	SouthEast Stage 2	53	49.7	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.87	0.87
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	48.0	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.86	0.86
P6S	NorthEast Slip/Bypass Lane Crossing	53	42.2	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.80	0.80
All Pedestrians		263	41.2	LOS E			0.77	0.77

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay)

Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Site: 101 [Station Road/ Rawson Street PM Com (H) - Dev]

New Site

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 115 seconds (User-Given Cycle Time) Variable Sequence Analysis applied. The results are given for the selected output sequence.

Move	ement Pe	rformance	- Vehic	les							
Mov ID	OD Mov	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate per veh	Average Speed km/h
South	East: Raw	son Street									
21	L2	696	1.3	0.868	26.1	LOS B	26.0	184.1	0.55	0.79	19.1
22	T1	621	3.8	1.206	247.9	LOS F	89.0	643.5	1.00	2.17	3.4
Appro	bach	1317	2.5	1.206	130.7	LOS F	89.0	643.5	0.76	1.44	5.8
North	East: Stati	on Road									
24	L2	49	0.0	1.183	232.9	LOS F	30.6	215.6	1.00	1.76	5.3
25	T1	426	1.1	1.183	229.6	LOS F	30.8	217.9	1.00	1.77	4.9
Appro	bach	476	1.0	1.183	229.9	LOS F	30.8	217.9	1.00	1.77	4.9
North	West: Rav	vson Street									
27	L2	69	1.6	0.703	26.1	LOS B	29.7	210.8	0.81	0.75	27.3
28	T1	646	1.6	0.703	21.5	LOS B	29.7	210.8	0.81	0.75	22.8
29	R2	137	1.6	0.833	67.5	LOS E	8.1	57.4	1.00	1.04	9.5
Appro	bach	853	1.6	0.833	29.3	LOS C	29.7	210.8	0.84	0.79	19.4
South	West: Sta	tion Road									
30	L2	148	1.2	0.172	22.1	LOS B	4.7	33.4	0.61	0.68	19.5
31	T1	512	0.9	0.872	44.4	LOS D	28.9	203.9	0.93	0.95	18.2
32	R2	542	0.3	1.190	204.4	LOS F	66.4	466.2	1.00	1.58	3.2
Appro	bach	1202	0.7	1.190	113.8	LOS F	66.4	466.2	0.92	1.20	6.6
All Ve	hicles	3847	1.5	1.206	115.2	LOS F	89.0	643.5	0.86	1.26	6.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Mov		Demand	Average	Level of Average Back of Queue			Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		per ped
P5	SouthEast Full Crossing	53	30.0	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.72	0.72
P6	NorthEast Full Crossing	53	17.8	LOS B	0.1	0.1	0.56	0.56
P7	NorthWest Full Crossing	53	30.0	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.72	0.72
P8	SouthWest Full Crossing	53	27.2	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.69	0.69
All Pedestrians		211	26.3	LOS C			0.67	0.67

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.